

# State of Science for Dispersant and Dispersed Oil (DDO) Use in Arctic Waters

April 5, 2017  
Russia WWF  
DWH Lessons Learned Conference



Coastal Response Research Center

1

## Project Overview

- Three year U.S. Arctic-focused SONS Exercises evaluated potential dispersant use for oil well blowout
  - Underlying questions of science
  - Communicating the decision
- Coastal Response Research Center, a NOAA ORR grantee, led an Arctic dispersant state of the science review with scientific experts

[http://crrc.unh.edu/dispersant\\_science](http://crrc.unh.edu/dispersant_science)



Coastal Response Research Center

2

## Project Objectives

- Identify primary research / reference documents
- Determine what is known definitively and what uncertainties remain about the state of science of DDO in Arctic
- Provide recommendations on outreach / education materials based on state of science



Coastal Response Research Center

3

## Approach

- Topics reviewed / discussed by 5 panels
  - Efficacy and Effectiveness
  - Physical Transport and Chemical Behavior
  - Degradation and Fate
  - Ecotoxicity and Sublethal Impacts
  - Public Health and Food Safety
- State of science only



Coastal Response Research Center

4

## Project Management

- Project Lead: Coastal Response Research Center
- Federal Team: NOAA ORR and U.S.EPA
- Interested Alaska Centric Entities
  - U.S. Dept. of the Interior - Alaska
  - U.S. Geological Survey
  - U.S. Coast Guard
  - Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
  - Cook Inlet Regional Citizens Advisory Council
  - Alaska Oil Spill Recovery Institute
  - Prince William Sound Regional Citizens Advisory Council



Coastal Response Research Center

5

## Caveats

- Mostly focused on surface application
- Focus is U.S. Arctic waters
- Conditions considered:
  - Ice free water
  - Ice infested water
  - Full ice cover
- No operations evaluation
- Primarily Corexit 9500/9527 in U.S. and post-DWH research



Coastal Response Research Center

6

## Document Compilation

- Assembled database of relevant DDO literature
  - Prior to June 2008 - LUMCON database (website)
  - June 2008 - December 31, 2015 CRRC
- Peer review as defined by U.S. federal agencies
- Documents continue to be published beyond December 2015



Coastal Response Research Center

7

## State-of-Science Documents

- Started with January 2015 workshop for each panel
- Each panel has been having conference calls since then
- Once panel completes document, it is released for public input (~2,500 emails sent)
- Input must include peer-reviewed literature to support comments
- Panels review the public input and make final changes to document
- Documents released as completed, along with database on that topic



Coastal Response Research Center

8

## Status of Papers by Panel

Topic	Input Period	Document Status	# Responses	Final Expected
Efficacy & Effectiveness	1/22-2/22/2016	Final by panel, addressing input	12	January 2017
Physical Transport & Chemical Behavior	1/22-2/22/2016	Final by panel, addressing input	12	March 2017
Degradation & Fate	4/4-5/4/2016	Final by panel, addressing input	7	March 2017
Ecotoxicity & Sublethal Impacts		Draft completed, in editing before panel review/approval		Public release April 2017
Public Health & Food Safety		Working on Final draft to release for public input		Public release June 2017



Coastal Response Research Center

9

## State-of-Science of DDO in Arctic

- Workshop - communicating the state of science to the public & others
  - Will follow completion of state of science papers
  - Small workshop with scientists and communication experts
  - Recommend types of material that would be most effective for communications to public



Coastal Response Research Center

10

## Knowns

- Environmental factors that impact dispersant effectiveness:
  - Oil type
    - Oils have: different viscosities, weather differently
  - Emulsification
  - Mixing energy
  - Dispersant formulation
  - Dispersant : Oil Ratio (DOR)
  - Water's salinity
  - Potential for dilution (small shallow water body vs. open ocean)
  - Temperature



Coastal Response Research Center

11

## Goals of Dispersant Application

- Reduce surface slicks
  - Break-up into small droplets that enter water column
    - Less contact for surface species (e.g., birds, marine mammals, turtles)
    - Speed dissolution
    - Speed biodegradation
    - Reduce oil toxicity by dilution
  - For subsea, disperse oil into droplets, so it does not reach surface



Coastal Response Research Center

12

## Dispersant Application Method

- Surface
  - Aerial (from air)
  - Vessel (on water)
- Subsea injection at wellhead



Coastal Response Research Center

13

## Efficacy vs. Effectiveness

- Efficacy = how well dispersants work in ideal/controlled setting (e.g., laboratory trial)
- Effectiveness = how well dispersants work under “real-world” conditions



Coastal Response Research Center

14

## Efficacy vs. Effectiveness

- Knowns:
  - If an oil remains fluid in cold waters in the Arctic, it will likely be dispersible if it is dispersible in temperate waters.
  - Subsea dispersant effectiveness in Arctic is likely equivalent to effectiveness in other subsea regions with the same conditions at depth.



Coastal Response Research Center

15

## Efficacy vs. Effectiveness

- Uncertainties:
  - The environment, oil and water systems are very complex, so applying general rules about dispersibility to the Arctic must be done carefully.
    - Ice is a big complicating factor



Coastal Response Research Center

16



## Efficacy vs. Effectiveness

### Temperature

- Knowns:
  - Low-medium viscosity oils (<1,000 - 2,000 cP) are readily dispersible in seawater at freezing
  - Dispersion of oil should occur at near freezing temperatures, if oil remains fluid
- Uncertainties:
  - Dispersibility of higher viscosity oils



Coastal Response Research Center

17

## Mixing Energy

- Knowns:
  - Ice-free waters: mixing energy impacts equivalent to those in temperate waters
  - Ice-infested waters: ice dampens surface waves energy, slowing dispersion kinetics
    - However, shearing caused by motion of small pieces of ice in non-breaking waves (provides additional near surface mixing energy)
  - Propeller wash from ships, including ice breakers, can enable dispersion of oil + dispersant



Coastal Response Research Center

18

## Mixing Energy

- Uncertainties:
  - Limited studies of surface mixing energy for some ice conditions (e.g., frazil ice)
  - Effectiveness of oil dispersion not fully characterized with highly ice-infested waters
  - Effects/interactions of shearing, dampening and reduction of evaporative weathering on oil dispersion not well understood



Coastal Response Research Center

19

## Dispersant Effectiveness

- Uncertainties:
  - Poorly studied topics:
    - Effects of low salinity and hyper-saline water
    - Behavior of oils with viscosities  $>2000$  cP
    - Dispersants other than Corexit
    - Impacts of gas at high subsea pressure



Coastal Response Research Center

20

## Detection & Monitoring of Effectiveness

- No standard dispersant effectiveness monitoring protocols for ice-infested waters
- Existing quantitative assessment techniques for measuring overall effectiveness have lots of uncertainty



Coastal Response Research Center

21

## Overall Conclusions on State of Science of Efficacy & Effectiveness of Dispersant Use in Arctic Waters

- Subsea efficacy & effectiveness should be similar in Arctic to elsewhere if same conditions at depth
- Ice in Arctic waters changes the conditions for oil dispersant interactions in ways we do not fully understand



Coastal Response Research Center

22

## Physical Transport & Chemical Behavior



Coastal Response Research Center

23

## Physical Transport & Chemical Behavior

- Sea Ice Impacts
  - Brine exuded from ice during ice formation is transported to bottom waters forming a stable boundary layer
    - Dispersant use during this time may enhance transport of dissolved oil constituents to the bottom
  - Ice formation, transport and melting may create additional types of mixing vs. open water



Coastal Response Research Center

24

## Physical Transport & Chemical Behavior

- Knowns:
  - Key point: dispersants do not change oil or its constituents chemically
    - Dispersants impact formation of oil droplets
  - Lots known about droplet size as a function of dispersant use, particularly regarding dissolution of hydrocarbon constituents from droplets
  - Dispersants help reduce droplet size
  - Smaller droplet sizes = higher dissolution and degradation = longer residence time in water column vs. being on surface as a slick



Coastal Response Research Center

25

## Physical Transport & Chemical Behavior

- Uncertainties: Droplet size/formation
  - No models of near surface droplet size distribution for naturally vs. chemically dispersed oil in ice infested waters
  - Lab studies of mixing energy's impact on droplet size distribution do not scale up well to the field
  - Turbulence regimes under ice are not well understood
  - Difficult to predict droplet rise under ice
  - Unknown if resurfaced chemically dispersed oil can be dispersed again when mixing energy added



Coastal Response Research Center

26

## Physical Transport & Chemical Behavior

- Knowns:
  - Open water conditions follow same hydrodynamic principles observed in other regions
  - Unique Arctic factor is when oil frozen in ice
  - Capacity of ice to pool non-dispersed oil increases with under-ice roughness
  - Transport of dispersed oil depends on droplet size, cross-currents, in situ conditions (e.g., density gradient)
  - 3D decrease in concentration (for droplets and dissolved constituents) over time with distance from spill



Coastal Response Research Center

27

## Transport

- Uncertainties:
  - Pooling capacity and transport under ice difficult to predict
  - Transport of surface oil in water with intermediate ice coverage is uncertain
  - Difficult to predict transport and mixing in frazil, grease and slush ice
  - Spreading of dispersant treated oil in ice-infested water is difficult to predict



Coastal Response Research Center

28

## Oil-in-Ice

- Knowns:
  - Experimental field releases have increased understanding of behavior of oil-in-ice
  - Spreading (movement of oil within ice field) is constrained by ice
  - Oil in pack ice will move with the ice unless pack ice is at low concentrations
    - They may move independently of ice
  - Secondary release of oil entrapped in ice occurs at site where ice melts



Coastal Response Research Center

29

## Oil-in-Ice

- Knowns (continued):
  - Fresh ice in leads may emulsify due to wave action; this oil may not be as readily dispersed by chemical dispersants
  - Window of opportunity for surface application of chemical dispersants increases with high ice cover and low air and sea temperature (e.g., less weathering)



Coastal Response Research Center

30

## Oil-in-Ice

- Uncertainties:
  - Uncertain how oil is transported when 3/10ths to 8/10ths ice cover
  - Uncertain if oil dispersant mixtures trapped in ice will be dispersed when ice is melted



Coastal Response Research Center

31

## Oil Weathering

- Knowns:
  - Bulk properties of oil frozen into first year ice are much the same as when oil first encapsulated
    - When temperature warms, oil can migrate to surface of ice through brine channels forming melt pools
    - Water soluble oil constituents released into brine and transported with brine to water underneath ice
  - Field trials show weathering in Arctic is slow; dispersant window as long as 7 days



Coastal Response Research Center

32



## Oil Weathering

- Uncertainties:
  - Much uncertainty because of:
    - Limited field data
    - Variation with ice concentration and type
    - Much uncertainty about degree of water-in-oil emulsification, volatilization, dissolution



Coastal Response Research Center

33

## Testing & Monitoring

- Lab and tank tests are difficult to extrapolate to field
- Field tests best to resolve uncertainties, but difficult to conduct (e.g., permits, control, safety)



Coastal Response Research Center

34

## Oil-Mineral Aggregate (OMA)

- Knowns:
  - Arctic river outputs and glacial till can be significant in OMA formation
  - OMA occurs in cold waters and presence of ice
  - In lab studies, chemical dispersants enhance OMA formation
  - OMA will sink similar to sediment
    - Observed during Deepwater Horizon spill when drilling mud injected as a well control method
  - Lots of work on OMA done by Khelifa (Environment Canada) and Lee (Canada Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans)



Coastal Response Research Center

35

## OMA

- Uncertainties:
  - Formation, behavior and fate of OMA in ice-infested water



Coastal Response Research Center

36

## Mathematical Modeling

- Uncertainties/Issues:
  - Limited empirical data to develop improved predictive models of dispersed oil droplet sizes, dissolution, OMA formation, water-in-oil emulsification for oil spills in ice
  - Modeling movement of oil through brine channels
  - Modeling of oil movement under ice
  - Modeling with higher concentrations of ice
  - Visualization tools do not usually include polar projections
    - Arctic Collaborative Environment (ACE) & NOAA Arctic ERMA do.



Coastal Response Research Center

37

## Subsea Release

- Knowns:
  - Many aspects of Arctic subsea conditions may be similar to conditions elsewhere
  - In shallow waters, force of rising gas from blowout could break ice
- Uncertainties:
  - Effect of gas bubbles from subsea spill and hydrate formation on oil droplet size formation
  - In shallow release, uncertain if oil-water plume will melt ice



Coastal Response Research Center

38

## Degradation & Fate



Coastal Response Research Center

39

## Fate of Dispersants Alone

- Knowns:
  - Dispersant components have different half lives in the environment
    - Affected by environmental conditions
  - Anionic surfactants (e.g., DOSS) biodegrade under aerobic conditions and more slowly anaerobically
    - Most studies are surfactants alone, not dispersant mixtures



Coastal Response Research Center

40

## Fate of Dispersants Alone

- Knowns (continued):
  - DOSS is most studied anionic surfactants and is constituent of the dispersant Corexit
    - In DWH, found in water column up to 4 months after last use
    - In sediments, still present in DWH-oiled sediments (not in natural seep sediments)
  - Direct absorption of sunlight by dispersants is poor
    - Some decay over days by photolysis
    - Natural organic matter (NOM) + sunlight = reactive oxygen species → may impact dispersant abiotic degradation



Coastal Response Research Center

41

## Fate of Dispersants Alone

- Uncertainties:
  - Because dispersants vary in composition, degradation and fate are not well known
  - Do other sources of surfactants (non-oil spill related) exist in the Arctic?
  - Effect of sunlight, low temperatures, and natural organic matter on dispersant decay/degradation not well understood



Coastal Response Research Center

42

## Oil Sedimentation

- Oil Mineral Aggregates
  - Discussed in previous section
- Bulky Sinking (e.g., residue after in situ burning)
  - Density of oil mass becomes greater than surrounding water
  - Dispersants not likely used in this case
- Marine Snow



Coastal Response Research Center

43

## Marine Snow

- Knowns:
  - Normal aggregation of marine bacteria, phytoplankton, zooplankton that naturally accumulates particles and sinks to bottom
  - During Deepwater Horizon, oil caused microbes and phytoplankton to produce more exopolymer
  - More exopolymer production = more marine snow



Coastal Response Research Center

44

## Marine Snow

- Knowns (continued):
  - Oil becomes incorporated in marine snow
    - Marine Oil Snow Sedimentation and Flocculant Accumulation (MOSSFA)
  - Found evidence after DWH of major MOSSFA layer on bottom
    - Now buried by subsequent sediment accretion in Gulf of Mexico (GOM)
  - Sediment cores from IXTOC well blowout spill in GOM (1979) show MOSSFA event



Coastal Response Research Center

45

## Marine Snow

- Uncertainties:
  - How does dispersant use affect marine snow formation?



Coastal Response Research Center

46

## Biodegradation of Oil

- Knowns:
  - Hydrocarbon-degrading (HD) microbes are ubiquitous
    - McFarlin et al. (2014) Arctic near-shore waters crude oil biodegradation at -1°C
    - Microbial community structure may differ geographically
    - HD microbes found in Arctic waters
  - Microbes degrade dissolved oil constituents and also at oil-water interface



Coastal Response Research Center

47

## Biodegradation of Oil

- Uncertainties:
  - What actually happens in the field?
    - Few studies
    - Most based on lab not field



Coastal Response Research Center

48



## Oil Biodegradation Pathways

- Knowns:
  - Oil constituents degrade at different rates
    - Arctic biodegradation pathways follow typical pattern observed in temperate waters
    - Complex microbial consortia degrade different oils (and their constituents) with complementary metabolic pathways
      - Live vs. dead oils
      - Light vs. heavy oils
  - Lab studies show no change in biodegradation sequence with dispersants present



Coastal Response Research Center

49

## Oil Biodegradation Pathways

- Uncertainties:
  - Is biodegradation sequence in anaerobic marine environment consistent?



Coastal Response Research Center

50

## Factors Affecting Biodegradation

- Knowns:
  - Nutrients and trace metal availability important in oil biodegradation rates
    - Lab studies suggest oil biodegradation can become nutrient limited
    - At low oil concentration (dispersed oil), there should be sufficient micronutrients



Coastal Response Research Center

51

## Factors Affecting Biodegradation

- Knowns:
  - Cold-water adapted microbes in deep water exhibit higher degradation rates of oil at lower temperatures than at high temperatures
    - Psychrophilic unique enzyme
    - Arctic water =  $-1.8^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $1.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ;  
summer inflows =  $8^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $12^{\circ}\text{C}$
  - Bioavailability, solubility and physical properties affect observed biodegradation rates
  - Salinity range of Arctic not impediment to biodegradation



Coastal Response Research Center

52

## Factors Affecting Biodegradation

- Uncertainties:
  - Importance of psychrophiles and psychrotrophs in Arctic oil biodegradation
  - Biodegradation rates in ice uncertain
  - Effect of OMA on biodegradation in Arctic



Coastal Response Research Center

53

## Effect of Chemical Dispersants on Oil Biodegradation

- Lots of papers published on this topic, some not scientifically sound and some not representative of environmental conditions
  - Examples:
    - Nominal initial oil concentration (not actually measured)
    - Dispersant concentrations very high >1,000 ppm



Coastal Response Research Center

54

## Effect of Chemical Dispersants on Oil Biodegradation

- Knowns:
  - 10  $\mu\text{m}$  oil droplets degrade faster than 30  $\mu\text{m}$  oil droplets (Brakstad et al., 2015)
  - Dispersants increase oil-water interfacial area, thus increasing biodegradation of oil droplets vs. slick
  - Chemical dispersion most frequently increased oil biodegradation rates vs. physically dispersed oil
    - Most studies with Corexit



Coastal Response Research Center

55

## Effect of Chemical Dispersants on Oil Biodegradation

- Caveats to Chemically Dispersed Oil Biodegradation Findings:
  - Often studies used proxy for biodegradation (e.g., increase bacterial numbers)
  - Need multiple lines of evidence (e.g., oil decreases, TEA decreases)
  - Publication bias against negative results
  - Oil spill comparison is usually chemically dispersed vs. oil slick; usually not physically dispersed in environment



Coastal Response Research Center

56

## Effect of Chemical Dispersants on Oil Biodegradation

- Caveats to Chemically Dispersed Oil Biodegradation Findings (continued):
  - Magnitude of effect varies
  - Lots of factors vary (e.g., temperature, concentration of oil, dispersant vs. particulate, DOR, dispersant type and concentration, oxygen, nutrients)



Coastal Response Research Center

57

## Effect of Chemical Dispersants on Oil Biodegradation

- Uncertainties:
  - Impacts of droplet size; only 10  $\mu\text{m}$  vs. 30  $\mu\text{m}$  studied
  - Impact of dispersants/dispersion on microbial activity
    - Degrading short-term vs. long-term release and adaptation
  - Lack of realistic field conditions
    - DWH oil concentrations in water typically < 10 ppm



Coastal Response Research Center

58

## Effect of Chemical Dispersants on Oil Biodegradation

- Uncertainties (continued):
  - Order of biodegradation of dispersant components vs. oil constituents
    - Preferential biodegradation?



Coastal Response Research Center

59

## Ecotoxicity and Sublethal Impacts of Dispersants and Dispersed Oil in Arctic Waters

Out for Public Input  
June 2017



Coastal Response Research Center

60

## Public Health and Food Safety of Dispersants and Dispersed Oil in Arctic Waters

Out for Public Input  
April 2017



Coastal Response Research Center

61

## Panel Experts Efficacy and Effectiveness

- Catherine Berg, NOAA
- Robyn Conmy, USEPA
- Ben Fieldhouse, Environment Canada
- Merv Fingas, Spill Science
- Tim Nedwed, ExxonMobil
- Christopher Reddy, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
- Ken Trudel, SL Ross Environmental Research Ltd
- Timothy Steffek, US Bureau of Safety & Environmental Enforcement



Coastal Response Research Center

62

## Panel Experts: Physical Transport and Chemical Behavior

- Christopher Barker, NOAA
- CJ Beegle-Krause, SINTEF
- Robyn Conmy, US EPA
- Thomas Coolbaugh, ExxonMobil
- Merv Fingas, Spill Science
- Ali Khelifa, Environment Canada
- James R. Payne, Payne Environmental Consultants, Inc.
- W. Scott Pegau, Alaska Oil Spill Recovery Institute



Coastal Response Research Center

63

## Panel Experts: Degradation and Fate

- Robyn Conmy, US EPA
- Thomas Coolbaugh, ExxonMobil
- Merv Fingas, Spill Science
- Terry Hazen, University of Tennessee and Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Robert Jones, NOAA
- Samantha (Mandy) Joye, University of Georgia Athens
- Mary Beth Leigh, University of Alaska Fairbanks
- Karl Linden, University of Colorado Boulder
- Kelly McFarlin, University of Alaska Fairbanks
- Scott Miles, Louisiana State University
- Mathijs Smit, Shell Global Solutions International BV
- Mark D. Sprenger, US EPA



Coastal Response Research Center

64



<http://crrc.unh.edu/>

Nancy E. Kinner, PhD  
Professor, Civil & Environmental Engineering  
University of New Hampshire  
UNH Director, Coastal Response Research Center  
Director, Center for Spills & Environmental  
Hazards



Coastal Response Research Center

65