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MM53 Incident
MM 53 – roughly to scale
5/9/06 Dive survey sketch,
rev 1/15 CST 5/10/06 by R. Simmons

Diagram produced by Robert Simmons based on dive survey by John Romans (diver) and information from Bob Michel who was in attendance on the surface during the dive survey.

Per Jim Smith, this sketch was reviewed by diver, dive tender, & Bob Michel and as revised reflects the consensus of the dive survey.

Note: Displacement of stern end of MM 53 due to buckle is not depicted.

Asphalt in horizontal plane on river bottom on upriver side of barge; pliable; tacky; extruded; not smooth surface; **average thickness in question**.

Asphalt protruding from fore/af hatches in #5 wing void; shiny-black.

Barge not touching bottom along this area, significant underflow.

3' x 3' protrusion of asphalt but does not touch river bottom; apparent breach.
Potential Injury Pathways

- Toxicity of dissolved components
  - acute
  - chronic
- Ingestion
- Physical fouling
  - smothering
Resources of Potential Concern

• Aquatic habitat
  – fish

• Sediment habitat
  – benthos
  – threatened and endangered species
    • freshwater mussels
Factors Influencing Hazards to Environment

- Solubility/toxicity of constituents
- Bioconcentration/Bioaccumulation
- Density
- Biodegradability
Asphalt

- LSU performed a water temperature experiment at the request of NOAA to determine properties of sunken asphalt at increasing temperatures
- Paving grade asphalt from sister barge MM54
- Asphalt introduced to 60°F water in beaker, gradually heated up to 125°F
- No sheen or oil visible at any temperature
- Low PAH concentrations in asphalt = low probability of PAH leaching into water column
- Hot asphalt hardens upon contact with water
Figure 1: Asphalt in water at 60°F

Figure 2: Asphalt in water at 70 to 90°F.
Toxicity

- Acute and chronic effects are a function of:
  - concentration
  - duration of exposure
  - chemical type
- Water sample data provides an estimate of concentrations and duration
- River flow and ambient conditions provide an idea of duration
- EPA criteria provide chemical thresholds
Water Column Results

- **U.S. EPA Region 5 Ecological Screening Levels**
- **Maximum Upstream, excluding runoff (17 samples)**
- **Maximum Downstream (14 samples)**
- **Run off Sample**

### Water Column Results

- **Parts per trillion (ng/L)**
  - Benzene
  - Toluene
  - Ethylbenzene
  - Xylenes
  - Naphthalene
  - Acenaphthene
  - Acenaphthylene
  - Dibenzofuran
  - Fluorene
  - Anthracene
  - Phenantherene
  - Fluoranthene
  - Pyrene
  - Benzo(k)fluoranthene
  - Benzo(b)pyrene
  - Benzo(a)pyrene
  - Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
  - Benzo(a)pyrene

**Graph Details**:
- The graph displays the concentration levels of various compounds measured in the water column.
- U.S. EPA Region 5 Ecological Screening Levels are represented by a green line.
- Maximum Upstream, excluding runoff (17 samples) is represented by orange dots.
- Maximum Downstream (14 samples) is represented by green dots.
- Run off Sample is represented by yellow dots.

**Company Information**:
- **ENTRIX**
- Down to Earth. Down to Business.
Summary of ORSANCO Results

- Orsanco detection limits
- U.S. EPA Region 5 Ecological Screening Levels

Graph showing parts per trillion (ng/L) for various compounds such as Naphthalene, Acenaphthene, Dibenzo[a]anthracene, and others.
PAH Leaching from Asphalt

U.S. EPA Region 5 Ecological Screening Levels

Predicted Leached Concentration

CONCENTRATION (ng/L)

Naphthalene
Acenaphthylene
Acenaphthene
Dibenzofuran
Fluorene
Anthracene
Phenanthrene
Fluoranthene
Pyrene
Benz(a)anthracene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene
Benzo(ghi)perylene
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene
Benz(k)fluoranthene
Chrycene
Estimated Mussel Tissue Concentrations

- Evaluate whether PAH would bioconcentration in mussel tissue at levels to cause chronic or acute effects
- Use accepted methods to calculate tissue concentrations
- Compare tissue concentrations to EPA benchmark
Method to Estimate Mussel Tissue Concentrations

- Use empirical water concentration data
- Calculate a Bioconcentration Factor (BCF) for each PAH based on EPA regression equation
  - the ratio of a substance's concentration in tissue of an aquatic organism to its concentration in the ambient water
- Estimate tissue concentrations using equation
  - \( C_{tissue} = BCF \times C_{water} \)
Method to Estimate Mussel Tissue Concentrations

- Convert Ctissue (ng/g wet wt) to µmol PAH/g lipid
  - normalize to lipid concentration
- Sum individual PAHs
- Compare to EPA Final Chronic Value
**EPA Tissue Benchmark**

- **EPA Final Chronic Value 2.24 umol/g lipid**

  
  - Acute value (9.31 umol/g lipid) is derived from water LC50 studies from a wide range of PAHs and species
  - Threshold is based on total µmol present (PAHs effect additive)
  - Chronic value - based on acute:chronic ratio from paired studies
  - Designed to be protective of 95% of benthic organisms as per EPA guidance for deriving water quality criteria.

Estimated Mussel Tissue Concentrations

- Surface water data used:
  
  **Scenario 1**: Downstream sample collected February 15th, 1 mile south of spill
  
  **Scenario 2**: Maximum concentrations of PAH in sample with sheen

- Results range in umol PAH/g lipid
  
  **Scenario 1** = 0.12
  
  **Scenario 2** = 0.58

Results

- Estimated body burdens are at least 4 times lower than the EPA chronic benchmark (2.24 µmol/g lipid)
- Upstream sample has the highest potential body burden because it has the highest concentrations of heavy PAHs (contributes more on a µmol basis)
- Contribution of spill related body burden estimated by the percent of body burden due to naphthalenes (and alkylated naphthalenes) in the barge sample (worst case)
  - 25% of PAH body burden is due to naphthalenes
  - 75% of calculated body burden could be from background PAH
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>Toxic</th>
<th>Duration of Exposure</th>
<th>Pathway Completed?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthos</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mussels</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Models Used to Predict Transport in River

• Flows
• Temperatures:
  – Water
  – Asphalt
• Density
• Size /shape of Asphalt
MM53 Release Investigation
Where was asphalt observed?

Break Site = RM 607.4

Asphalt Observations

River Mile

605 610 615 620 625 630 635 640

Gallons

0 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000

8 gal 6422 gal 897 gal 641 gal 20000 gal 2 gal
Simplified model projects large slab settling close to release site

- 20,000 gallon slab: 3’ x 24’ x 37’
- Assumed density: 1.03
- Encountering drag
- Reaches terminal velocity of 0.65 m/s
- Assuming 40’ depth
- Reaches bottom in 19 sec
- Travels only 114’ downstream
However, asphalt found ~10 miles downstream. How did it get there?
Possible causes for transport

- Asphalt emerged hot at a density <1
- Water’s density = 1.0
- The asphalt traveled with flow ~neutrally buoyant
- Once cooled, density increased to >1, then sank quickly
Time to cool

- The time necessary to cool and sink a function of the shape / thickness of the mass and temperature differential

Exterior cools / hardens first

Hot center, lower density
The net density of the mass may be less than water while the exterior forms a more dense crust.
Time to cool

- Smaller particles cool faster, sink faster
4 Transport Categories

- The asphalt may have transported in 4 different ways
4 Transport Categories

- First – smaller pieces of asphalt cooled quickly and settled close to the break site
Second – large mass of asphalt was carried aloft until it cooled and sank farther downstream.
3 Transport Categories

- Third – pieces of the large mass could have broken off and landed close to the large mass OR rolled downstream during storm conditions with strong flow.
3 Transport Categories

- Fourth, particles ~0.1 meters and smaller likely too small to remain settled and traveled farther downstream.
• Assume that density/specific gravity and flow are primary factor governing settling location
• Assuming the 20,000 gal. slab initially emerged as one piece (found at mile 617)
• In order to travel further downstream, a slab would have had to cool more slowly, ie be larger than that found
Transport, Fate of Asphalt

- Searched depositional areas to mile 642 (approx 25 miles below large mass)
- Appears likely that additional large slabs would have been found via SSS in depositional areas between mile 617 and 642?