Droplet Size Distribution in Wave Tank Studies on Dispersant Effectiveness

Zhengkai Li, Kenneth Lee, Paul Kepkay, Tom King Center for Offshore Oil and Gas Environmental Research Fisheries & Oceans Canada, Dartmouth NS, CANADA

Michel C. Boufadel Civil and Environmental Engineering Department Temple University, Philadelphia PA, U.S.A.

Albert D. Venosa

National Risk Management Research Laboratory Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati OH, U.S.A.

Acknowledgements

- Funding:
 - NOAA/CRRC-UNH, DFO, EPA, NRCan
- Team members:

W. Yeung, M. Asenault, J. Bugden, S. Cobanli, J. Dixon, J. J., X. Ma, P. Thamer, M. Cole, W. Merlin, P. Flamming, A. Hill, P. McPherson,

• Supporting engineers: Dan Moffatt, Merle Pittman



atural Resources







Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Pêches et Océans Canada

Ressources naturelles

Canada

Wave Tank Facilities at BIO





Wave Tank Facilities at BIO





Factorial Experimental Design

• Factors:

 Dispersants: Corexit, SPC, Water (control)
 Waves: regular non-breaking wave; spilling breaker, plunging breaker,
 Oil types: MESA, ANS

Effectiveness indicators:

Oil concentration
Droplet size distribution
Analytical methods
Ultraviolet Spetrophotometry
Ultraviolet Fluoremetry
Laser In-Situ Scattering and Transiometry
Epifuorescent microscopy







Factorial Experimental Design Matrix

Treatment	Dispersants	Oils	Waves	Replicates
1	Water	MESA	Regular	A, B, C
2	Corexit	MESA	Regular	A, B, C
3	SPC	MESA	Regular	A, B, C
4	Water	ANS	Regular	A, B, C
5	Corexit	ANS	Regular	A, B, C
6	SPC	ANS	Regular	A, B, C
7	Water	MESA	Spilling	A, B, C
8	Corexit	MESA	Spilling	A, B, C
9	SPC	MESA	Spilling	A, B, C
10	Water	ANS	Spilling	A, B, C
11	Corexit	ANS	Spilling	A, B, C
12	SPC	ANS	Spilling	A, B, C
13	Water	MESA	Plunging	A, B, C
14	Corexit	MESA	Plunging	A, B, C
15	SPC	MESA	Plunging	A, B, C
16	Water	ANS	Plunging	A, B, C
17	Corexit	ANS	Plunging	A, B, C
18	SPC	ANS	Plunging	A, B, C

Particle Size Distribution Measured with LISST-100X (no dispersant)



Particle Size Distribution Measured with LISST-100X (with Dispersant)



Total Oil Concentration under Spilling Breakers w/o Dispersant



Mass Mean Diameter under Spilling Breakers w/o Dispersant

surface

middle

bottom



Total Oil Concentration under Spilling Breakers with Dispersant



Mass Mean Diameter under Spilling Breakers with Dispersant



Total Oil Concentration under Regular Nonbreaking Waves



Mass Mean Diameter under Regular Non-Breaking Waves



Total Oil Concentration under Spilling Breakers



Mass Mean Diameter under Spilling Breakers



Total Oil Concentration under Plunging Breakers



Mass Mean Diameter under Plunging Breakers



Effects of Waves, Dispersants, and Oil Type on MMD Near Bottom after 2 h Dispersion



Effects of Waves, Dispersants, and Oil Type on MMD Near Bottom after 2 h Dispersion



Effects of Waves, Dispersants, and Oil Types on Dispersed Oil Droplet Size

Factors	Df	Sm of Sq	Mean Sq	F Value	Pr (F)
Dispersant	2	8711.662	4355.831	17.57963	0.0000585
Wave	2	6461.343	3230.671	13.03862	0.0003159
Oil	1	2.555	2.555	0.01031	0.9202444
Dispersant : Wave	4	2531.817	632.954	2.55453	0.0744745
Dispersant : Oil	2	254.805	127.403	0.51418	0.6065120
Wave : Oil	2	1460.530	730.265	2.94727	0.0781206
Dispersant : Wave : Oil	4	3704.931	926.233	3.73817	0.0220105
Residuals	18	4459.988	247.777		

Oil Distribution in the Wave Tank Under Regular Waves



Oil Distribution in the Wave Tank Under Spilling Breakers



Oil Distribution in the Wave Tank Under Plunging Breakers



Conclusions

• Dispersants:

Dispersant reduced oil droplet size and the accelerated the break up of large oil into small oil droplets

Dispersant increased the dispersed oil concentration

The two tested chemical dispersants are similar in their effectiveness from the preliminary data analysis

• Waves:

Plunging and spilling breaking waves increased oil concentration compared to non-breaking wave
 Breaking waves also decreased oil droplet size

• Oils:

No significant effect of tested oil types on dispersed oil concentration and droplet size distribution