## Survival time models quantitatively predict lethal effects of pulsed and different duration exposures to oil spill PAHs





# Acknowledgment

Funding for this project was provided by the Coastal Response Research Center www.crrc.unh.edu







# Rationale

Most toxicity data are derived from conventional concentration-effect test designs that produce an effect metric (e.g., 96hLC50), at a set exposure time.

Only gross prediction if mortality data not collected during the exposure. Mortality occurring after exposure stops is not included in predictions.

Conventional approach is suboptimal for predicting all mortality for exposures differing in duration from conventional tests.



These shortcomings are weighty impediments to accurately predicting effects from spilt oil exposures that vary in both duration and concentration through time, and that require prediction of all mortality resulting from exposure.



These shortcomings can be avoided by noting mortality through time including post-exposure mortality, and applying survival time modeling

### Goal in Classic Toxicology

Accurate/precise estimation of poison or drug potency under controlled conditions

### Goal in Ecotoxicology

Reliable prediction of lethal consequences of an environmental exposure

- to individuals under all reasonable exposure scenarios
- to populations as a consequence of death of members of the population

- to ecological communities as a consequence of population changes



Classic toxicology methods emerged in 1920-1930's Adopted by ecotoxicologists in 1950-1960's Now used to produce most of the available lethality metrics for risk assessment



## Is there a better way?

Dose/concentration treatments optimal for one duration

Ignores information emerging before and after the specific duration for which the LC50 is estimated.



Coastal Response Research Center



Other widely applied methods that avoid these shortcomings: population ecology demography epidemiology engineering clinical sciences

## **Potential for Post-exposure Mortality**



**Proportion Dead** 



TORR

### Time-to-Death (Survival) Analysis As An Alternative

Generally same test design but monitor time-to-death of individuals Survivors are treated as censored in modeling Fit data to best of several candidate models via MLE



Survival Time = 
$$e^{a}e^{b(\ln Concentration)}e^{\varepsilon}$$



Why isn't this done? Tradition established before computers.



# Objectives

- Produce predictive survival time models for grass shrimp exposed to six representative PAH in weathered oil WAF. Models will include pulse and post-pulse mortality at realistic ranges of exposure duration and concentration.
- Produce predictive survival time models that incorporate molecular qualities (e.g., log Kow) of the six PAH. Such a model will potentially allow prediction by interpolation to other untested PAH.



Produce predictive survival time models for grass shrimp exposed to a mixture of the PAH.



## Exposure System - 1





## Exposure System – 2 30 Liter chamber









## PAH Generator Column >350 Liters every 12 hours













## **Tissue Analysis by MS/SIMS** Measure PAH in individual shrimp



University of

#### Water Concentrations for Ethvlnaphthalene



#### Water Concentrations for Dimethylnaphthalene



#### Water Concentrations for Phenanthrene



### Survival Raw Data

### Phenanthrene



Research Cen

University of New Hampshi

## Survival Profiles for Phenanthrene



## Survival Profiles for Dimethylnaphthalene



## Survival Profiles for 1-ethylnaphthalene



## 1-ethylnaphthalene Exposure Recovery



48 hours of 375 ppb ENAP exposure Shrimp inverted, opaque, immobile

56 hours (8 hours post exposure) Upright, some mobility in tube



60 hours (12 hours post exposure) Still opaque but full mobility

72 hours (24 hours post exposure) Shrimp has shed, appears completely normal





## **Shrimp Tissue Concentrations**









## **Shrimp Tissue Concentrations**

### **Rapid Elimination**



University of

# **Results from Year 1**

- Compounds tested are narcotics
  - Very narrow window of toxicity
  - Rapid recovery, little or no latent mortality
- Implications
  - Small changes in concentration or duration of the toxicant can affect populations when near the effects "window"





# **Results from Year 1**

- Tissue concentrations are variable
  - Body burdens appear dose dependant
  - Rapid elimination of PAH supports lack of latent mortality
  - Preliminary data indicate body burden ranges for compounds may support critical body burden based on PAH molar concentration



- Tissue Concentration implications for monitoring
  - Not good predictor of TTD for acute exposures
  - Body burden ranges may indicate if shrimp were exposed to toxic concentrations

# Applying Survival Analysis to Predict Oil Spill Toxicity

- Factors affecting acute PAH exposure
  - Tides

University

- Cyclic variation in PAH concentration
- Dispersants
  - Increasing PAH solubility 1-5X
    - (Couillard et al. 2005, Environ. Tox. Chem. 24:6, 1496-1504)
- Salinity ("salting out effect")
  - 20-40% decrease in PAH solubility in saltwater
- Fate models can be coupled with survival analysis to predict population level effects

### Applying Survival Analysis to Predict Oil Spill Toxicity

Application of oil dispersants: What would be the result of a 2X increase in PAH concentration for 24h?

An increase of ENAP from 250ug/L to 500 ug/L for 24h would increase mortality in the grass shrimp population from <5% to 90%



# 2006 Year 2 Experiments

- Test three additional compounds: naphthalene, dibenzothiophene, fluorene
  - Scoping experiments for 3 compounds completed
  - Naphthalene, 1<sup>st</sup> survival experiment completed
- Toxicity of mixture (six compounds)
  - Are single compound effects additive?
- Develop survival model based on mixture results
- University of New Hampshire
- Develop QSAR for 6 compounds tested
  - Can we extend results to other PAH?

    Decearch Center