

Heat Exchangers

Reportout II

Materials, Installation, Scalability, Performance

HX Type	Shell and tube	Plate frame	Aluminum Plate Fin
Material	Aluminum, Titanium, Stainless steel, Copper-nickel	Stainless steel, titanium	Brazed aluminum
Installation/ Deployment	Simple; size important in terms of configuration/manifold	Difficult; complex piping system, expensive valving, less flexible for OTEC	Easy to manifold in modular system, easy handling
Scalability	Easy; Modular design- 100 MWe -- 10 MWe modules	Limited; Size and number of plates	Easy to scale up
Performance data and design	Lots of performance data; need enhanced tube	Lots of data High pressure drop HX	Lots of data DOE test data

Operability

- Repair
 - Shell and tube: plugging
 - Plate-frame: replace individual plates
 - Plate-fin: cannot repair on-site
- Replacement
 - Shell and tube: degrades past service life (major operation)
 - Plate-frame: replace individual HX
 - Plate-fin: replace module
- Decommissioning
 - Key: handling ammonia
 - Platform designed so that HX can be decommissioned without destroying whole system
 - Materials are resalable including NH₃
 - Use industry standards for clean NH₃ out of HX
- Personnel Safety
 - PPE/confined space entry for dry HXs
 - Divers for submerged HXs
 - Ammonia handling

Manufacturability (MRL)	modular Process: manually intensive MRL: 7	Easy: automated welding Plate size is an issue MRL: 8	Modular; MRL: 6
Relative Cost	High: labor intensive; integration: low cost	HX cheaper but add pipes/manifolding; ammonia side esp.	Potential lower cost (R&D in progress) lower in cost for integration
Logistics	Issues with transportation Build on shore-float to plant	ship individual HXs and plumb in	modular brazed units shipped and assembled on site
TRL	8	8	5

What risks are associated with failure?

- Ammonia safety- leaks
 - Codes and standards for refrigeration industry are applicable to OTEC
 - Leak in piping system- need sensors (refrigeration Standards)
 - Sensors needed for air and water leakage
 - Ammonia pump could fail – need standby (redundancy)
 - No clear codes for water-NH₃ systems
 - Periodically change/calibrate sensors
- Low temperature and pressure make for safer system than other industries
- Risk of failure: lower performance - cost issue
 - Biofouling
 - Corrosion

What are the cost drivers?

- Low deltaT drives cost (large size required)
 - Materials
 - Assembly/integration
 - Manufacturing
 - Logistics
 - O&M

What are possible cost-savings?

- Performance enhancements (reduce size of HX)
 - Surfaces (increasing SA, turbulence, mixing)
 - Configuration
 - Surface treatments
 - Optimization
- Cost Reduction
 - Materials (e.g. plastics, different alloys)

What are the hurdles?

- HX industry not motivated to provide optimized units to meet OTEC needs
- Time to test and evaluate different designs
- Qualifying aluminum manufacturing processes
- Chlorination acceptable

What is the development time frame for the technologies associated with HXs?

- 5 MWe (12-18 month)
- Commercial Design: 1 year
- Commercial Manufacturing: 2-3 years